

## 2021 All Star 1

### Summary of Conference Evaluations

Forty-three people filled out a conference evaluation, 10 anonymously. In their answers, people highlighted many of the activities that they enjoyed. The answers covered the gamut, not everyone picking the same activities. Some of the new features for this year were hits, such as Open Mic Night, eating outside on picnic tables, Music on Stone Village lawn at Social Hour.

In terms of letting go of activities, people seemed to mention activities that they did not like. Others felt those same activities were essential. A few people pointed out that if someone does not like an activity, they can just not attend.

For activities to add, people brought up some traditional activities that were not included due to fewer people in attendance. Several people suggested brand new ideas, such as sing-alongs just before lunch, inter-generational discussions, movie reviews, along with book reviews, and several different games. A few people suggested that they liked the less crowded schedule.

People described their volunteer jobs and how they went, along with what they might want to do next year. These responses should help next year's Chairs in their planning. Overall, people seemed happy with the conference this year.

### Summary of Leadership Evaluations

There were 22 Leadership Evaluation responses to the leadership evaluation form. The evaluations cover the four leadership positions of Treasurer, Registrar, Children's Program Coordinators, and Housing Coordinator. All but one response were very positive.

**Treasurer:** There were only positive responses about people's interactions with the Treasurer. The responses highlighted the Treasurer's quick and creative responses to issues.

**Registrar:** Again, only positive responses about the Registrar. All responses reported positive interactions with high praise for the helpfulness received that went beyond expectations, especially given the uncertainties and fluctuating circumstances this year.

**Children's Program Coordinators:** All responses about the Children's Program were very positive. Many of the activities were listed as highlights of the week. The only problems were that kids wanted to be able to bunk with other kids, but they understood why this was not possible this year. Some kids also missed their friends that were unable to attend this year.

**Housing Coordinator:** Among the 22 responses, 18 said that their room met their needs very well, 3 said that their needs were met well, and 1 said OK, i.e. neither well nor poorly.

There was one response from someone that indicated that they have problems with stairs and they were questioning why certain people were given a room on the first floor in Cottage A. The Executive Committee pooled its information on the situation, and concluded that Cottage A had been properly filled and that the couple raising the question had gotten a room better suited for them than the one that they had specifically requested.